ECFNo. 15 Page 1 of 5 Western District of Michigan

Bernard Hardrick, Petitioner,

V.

Unknown Pynnonen (AMF Inspector), and Regina Kemp (AMF Libraria),
Respondents

FILED - MQ

CLERK OF COURT
U.S. DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF MISHIGARD
BY: mic SCANNED BY:

2:25-mc-4 Maarten Vermaat U.S. Magistrate Judge

Petition To Perpetuate Testimony Before An Action IS Filed, Under Fed. R. Civ. P. 27(a)

Now comes Petitioner, Bernard Hardrick, Pro Se, petitioning this Honorable Cart to grant said Petition and allow the Petitioner to take depositions on the above Respondents So to perpetuate testimony to ungrave a First Amendment violation, and possibly criminal conduct, before an actual complaint is filed, and states the reasons as followed:

- 1. The Petitioner, Bernard Hardrick, who is an indigent prisoner, will be the Plaintiff party in a \$1983 complaint in this Court once material evidence of Constitutional and criminal misconduct has been fully developed by way of depositions against the above Respondents.
- 2. The subject matter and/or nature of the claim will involve the unconstitutional and unlawful conversion of the Petitioner's legal material that he submitted for Copies to be made by Respondent Kemp who functions as the Barage Correctional Facility (AMF) Law hibrarian in which she capricially submitted to Respondent Pynnonen who functions as the Inspector here at AMF.

- 3. The facts set out to be established by the Petitioner before he can bring forth an action will consist of:
 - (1) On or about November 1, 2024, the Petitioner submitted a civil complaint that he drafted against an AMF employee by the name of "Junet", by way of Photocopy Disbursement, to be processed by Respondent Kemp.
 - (2) Instead of processing the Petitioner's legal documents (and complaint), Ms. Kemp secretively, and capriciously, handed the legal documents over to Inspector Pynnonen at some point in time, and did so without administering a "Notice of Intent' (N.O.I.) to the Petitioner as required by MDOC Policy Directive when employees confiscate prisoners property.
 - (3) It was not until over a month later, after filing a grievance concerning the whereabouts she gave the legal documents to Inspector Pynnonen without administering an N.O.I. and used the lowsy excuse of claiming that the Petitioner's Civil complaint that the Photocopy Disbursement used to process the copy request had a different housing Respondent Pynnonen did not administer any NOI to allow the Petitioner due process civil complaint against his fellow AMF employee "Junct" by labling it as "suspicious" and being part of an "ongoing investigation".
- 4. Both Respondents are employeed and located at: Baraga Correctional Facility (AMF), 13924 Wadaga Rd., Baraga, MI 49908,
- 5. The Petitioner proposes that the taking of depositions by the above Respondents will not be costly or a financial burden upon them or this Court as the deposition will at best only consist of maybe 10 questions to each Respondent to extract the required testimony needed to prove as evidence their collusion to conspire against and unlawfully confiscated

Case 2:25-mc-00004-MV ECF No. 1, PageID.3 Filed 02/21/25 Page 3 of 5 the Petitioner's legal documents which consisted of a civil complaint against one of their fellow employees in violation of constitutional and criminal statutes.

Verification

I, Bernard Hardrick, pursuant to 28 usc 1746, deposes and states under the penalty of perjury that the foresaid statements in this Petition For Depositions are true and correct to the best of my Knowledge, Information, and Beliefi

Dated : February 13, 2025

5: 18 Mardrick

Bernard Hardrick #1606507 Baraga Com. Fac. 13924 Wadaga Rdi Baraga, MI 49908



US District Court
Court Clerk office
P.O. Box 698
Marquette, MI 49855